



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/652,694	08/31/2000	Paul S. Gyskiewicz	INTL-0447-US (P9445)	3816

7590 01/14/2003

Timothy N Trop  
Trop Pruner & Hu PC  
Ste 100  
8554 Katy Freeway  
Houston, TX 77024

EXAMINER

YENKE, BRIAN P

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2614

DATE MAILED: 01/14/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/652,694

Applicant(s)

GRYSKIEWICZ, PAUL S.

Examiner

BRIAN P. YENKE

Art Unit

2614

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

## Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:  
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_.  
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  
\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

## Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) \_\_\_\_.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

## DETAILED ACTION

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-11 and 15-18 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yeh et al. US 6,411,334.

In considering claims 1 and 16,

*a) the claimed receiving a video data stream...* is met by integrated graphics and video processor 110 which includes display processor 180 (Fig 2), where processor 110 receives, video data via analog decoder 122, digital decoder 124 and network medium (e.g. internet) 170 via CPU 150 (Fig 1) and memory 140.

*b) the claimed performing a scaling operation on the video data stream...* is met by filtering unit 330 (Fig 3b) which scales the source array of pixel data in memory by a scale factor to a destination array of pixel data.

*c) the claimed varying a density of scaled portions stored in memory...* is met where depending on the source display 301 and destination display 302 (Fig 3A), determines the aspect ratio correction (scale) applied by filtering unit 330.

In considering claims 2 and 17,

*a) the claimed accessing a scaled portion...* is met by graphics processor 210 of display processor 180 (Fig 2) which receives scaled data both from memory 240 and via pre-processing unit 320 (via memory interface 220).

*b) the claimed retrieving a data sample...* is met by filtering unit 330 which receives coefficients from memory buffer 240 and pixel data from pre-processing unit 320 (via memory interface 220).

*c) the claimed using the data sample in a second scaling operation* is met where the data is first scaled horizontally then vertically (Fig 3b).

In considering claims 3 and 18,

*a) the claimed dividing the memory into a plurality of lines* is met by graphics processor 210, which scales the array to a desired destination array of pixel data.

*b) the claimed identifying a line* is met by graphics processor 210 (Fig 3a)

*c) the claimed storing a number of scaled portions in the line...* is met by graphics processor 210 which stores the scaled portions (using filtering unit 330) into memory buffer 240 (Fig 2) .

In considering claims 4-6 and 15,

*a) the claimed a memory...* is met by memory buffer 240

Art Unit: 2614

*b) the claimed a scaler...* is met by filtering unit 330 (Fig 3b) which receives coefficients from memory buffer 240 and pixel data from pre-processing unit 320 (via memory interface 220).

*c) the claimed a memory controller...* is met by graphics processor 210 (Fig 2).

In considering claim 7,

*The claimed wherein the number of bytes in the memory is smaller than the predetermined number of bytes* is met where if the received video data stream is to be displayed on a correspondingly smaller destination display screen (302), the required memory in a decimation operation would be smaller.

In considering claim 8,

*The claimed wherein the scaling operation is a horizontal scaling operation* is met by horizontal filter 1 (332) and horizontal filter 2 (334) Fig 3b.

In considering claims 9-11,

*The claimed wherein a second scaler for performing a second scaling operation* is met by vertical filter 1 (336) and vertical filter 2 (338) which perform a scaling operation on the columns of data.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yeh et al., US 6,411,334.

In considering claims 12-13,

Yeh discloses using digital filtering using horizontal and vertical filters/scalers which correct for an aspect ratio of a display by scaling a source array of pixel data in a memory by a scale factor to a destination array of pixel data.

However, Yeh remains silent on the scalers including a finite impulse response filter (FIR) with a look-up table.

Yeh does disclose that the horizontal coefficients (Fig 4) are processed/loaded into coefficient unit 410 (Fig 4) and the vertical coefficients are processed by vertical coefficient registers 1010-1012 (Fig 10), where the coefficients are both received from memory buffer 240.

A FIR filter is a type of digital filter, which has a finite impulse response, since there is no feedback in the filter. FIR filters are known to be "linear phase", which delay the input signal, but do not distort its phase. In addition, FIR filters are used in interpolation and decimation operations since the FIR filters allow some of the calculations to be omitted, thus providing efficiency in computing operations.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify/utilize a FIR filter, with Yeh, which discloses scaling source array of pixel data in a memory, where the arrays are scaled both horizontally

and vertically, by using a FIR filter, in order to provide an efficient linear phase interpolation/decimation operation.

In considering claim 14,

The examiner takes "OFFICIAL NOTICE" in regards to a First-in-First Out Memory. Yeh et al., discloses a memory uses a memory buffer (240), to correct the aspect ratio of a display by scaling the source array of pixel data in memory by a scale factor to a destination array of pixel data.

A FIFO memory, is a conventional device which exits the item/data from the system which has been in the system the longest. Thus data that has arrived subsequently to previous data, will be exited/output subsequently to the previous data as well.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify/utilize a FIFO memory architecture with Yeh, which discloses the changing/scaling the source array of pixels in a memory, by reading/writing data in and out of the memory in the order the data was received, to thereby maintain the integrity of the original data.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian Yenke whose telephone number is (703) 305-9871. The examiner work schedule is Monday-Thursday, 0730-1830 hrs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's Supervisor, John W. Miller, can be reached at (703) 305-4795.

**Any response to this action should be mailed to:**

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks  
Washington, D.C. 20231


**or faxed to:**

**(703) 872-9314**

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist). Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703)305-4700.

B.P.Y.

06 JANUARY 2003

  
JOHN MILLER  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600